From:	So Kim
Sent time:	08/30/2018 09:47:16 AM
To:	Selim <Selim@sawayaeng.com>
Cc:	Jill Martin <jmartin@trumpnational.com>; Ara Mihranian
Subject:	RE: Tract 50666 Fencing plan

Hi Selim,
The email below did not include attachments. Please resend.

Sincerely,
So Kim, AICP
Deputy Director/Planning Manager
Community Development Department
City of Rancho Palos Verdes
www.rpvca.gov
(310) 544-5222

From: Selim [mailto:Selim@sawayaeng.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2018 9:33 AM
To: So Kim <SoK@rpvca.gov>
Cc: 'Jill Martin' <jmartin@trumpnational.com>; Ara Mihranian <AraM@rpvca.gov>
Subject: RE: Tract 50666 Fencing plan

Hi So,

Thank you for your prompt review regarding the fencing plan.

Providing a continuous fence on the west side between the trail and the PBC is very challenging especially that we have sewer and storm drain easements that should not be interrupted. Kindly review the attached documents with our proposed fence/rail location and provide some direction as to what would be acceptable to the City.

Also, see additional comments in red below to your comments as depicted on the plan:

Note 1 - Need 42" rail fence on west side of trail. Need shorter fence on both sides of the 3' buffer.

- This is very tricky because of sewer and storm drain easements that should not be fenced. See attached exhibit
- A shorter fence on both side of the 3 ft buffer is overkill and will not be provided. We are not conditioned for it, It is a tripping hazard, it will take away from the natural look of the area that everyone wants to preserve, It is not provided anywhere within the property.
- A 6" AC curb will be provided between the bike path and the 3' buffer only as Coastal recommended.
- The 3' buffer will be vegetated.

Note 2 - This needs to be moved so that it's along the trail. Provide fencing on both sides of the West Portal Trail.
The fence can not be moved because it interfer with a sewer easement and the access to the sanitation district trunk line (see attached)

Note 3 - Need short fence along both sides of the 3' buffer.
Please see my response to note 1 above – This is an overkill

Note 4 - Need 42" south side of trail AND shorter fence on both sides of the 3' buffer
A rail in the area you are requesting does not have neither a safety purpose nor to protect habitat. A rail in this area should not be required. As for a short fence on both sides of the 3 ft buffer, please see my response to note 1 above.

Note 5- Need 42" fence along east side of trail. (west bluff trail)
The trail is constructed and open to the public. A stone wall and vegetation seperate the golf course from the traill – A fence in this location is not required.

Thank you
Selim Sawaya P.E. / P.L.S.

(626) 715-2320

From: So Kim [mailto:SoK@rpvca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 4:41 PM
To: Selim
Cc: 'Jill Martin'; 'Rehm, Zach@Coastal'; Danny Aleshire
Subject: RE: Tract 50666 Fencing plan

Hi Selim,
Attached are few corrections.

Sincerely,
So Kim, AICP
Deputy Director/Planning Manager
Community Development Department
City of Rancho Palos Verdes
www.rpvca.gov
(310) 544-5222

From: Selim [mailto:Selim@sawayaeng.com]
Sent: Monday, August 27, 2018 7:45 AM
To: So Kim <SoK@rpvca.gov>; 'Rehm, Zach@Coastal' <Zach.Rehm@coastal.ca.gov>
Cc: 'Jill Martin' <jmartin@trumpnational.com>
Subject: Tract 50666 Fencing plan

Good Morning,

Please find attached, the Fencing Plan for Tract 50666 for your review and comments.
Kindly, let me know if you would need anything else.

Thank you
Selim Sawaya P.E. / P.L.S.
(626) 715-2320